

# Full wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Report (i)

## Sep 11, 2023 – 11:34 AM EDT

PDB ID	:	7UQT
BMRB ID	:	31011
Title	:	Solution NMR structure of hexahistidine tagged QseM (6H-QseM)
Authors	:	Hall, D.A.; Solomon, P.D.; Bond, C.S.; Ramsay, J.P.; Mackay, J.P.
Deposited on	:	2022-04-20

This is a Full wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Report for a publicly released PDB entry.

We welcome your comments at *validation@mail.wwpdb.org* A user guide is available at https://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/NMRValidationReportHelp with specific help available everywhere you see the (i) symbol.

The types of validation reports are described at http://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/FAQs#types.

The following versions of software and data (see references (1)) were used in the production of this report:

MolProbity	:	4.02b-467
Percentile statistics	:	20191225.v01 (using entries in the PDB archive December 25th 2019)
wwPDB-RCI	:	v_1n_11_5_13_A (Berjanski et al., 2005)
PANAV	:	Wang et al. $(2010)$
wwPDB-ShiftChecker	:	v1.2
Ideal geometry (proteins)	:	Engh & Huber $(2001)$
Ideal geometry (DNA, RNA)	:	Parkinson et al. (1996)
Validation Pipeline (wwPDB-VP)	:	2.35.1

# 1 Overall quality at a glance (i)

The following experimental techniques were used to determine the structure:  $SOLUTION\ NMR$ 

The overall completeness of chemical shifts assignment is 78%.

Percentile scores (ranging between 0-100) for global validation metrics of the entry are shown in the following graphic. The table shows the number of entries on which the scores are based.



Metric	$egin{array}{c} { m Whole \ archive} \ (\#{ m Entries}) \end{array}$	${f NMR}  { m archive} \ (\#{ m Entries})$
Clashscore	158937	12864
Ramachandran outliers	154571	11451
Sidechain outliers	154315	11428

The table below summarises the geometric issues observed across the polymeric chains and their fit to the experimental data. The red, orange, yellow and green segments indicate the fraction of residues that contain outliers for >=3, 2, 1 and 0 types of geometric quality criteria. A cyan segment indicates the fraction of residues that are not part of the well-defined cores, and a grey segment represents the fraction of residues that are not modelled. The numeric value for each fraction is indicated below the corresponding segment, with a dot representing fractions <=5%

Mol	Chain	Length	Quality of chain						
1	А	94	62%	9%	19%	11%			



# 2 Ensemble composition and analysis (i)

This entry contains 20 models. Model 1 is the overall representative, medoid model (most similar to other models).

The following residues are included in the computation of the global validation metrics.

Well-defined (core) protein residues							
Well-defined core	Well-defined core Residue range (total) Backbone RMSD (Å) Medoid model						
1	A:18-A:83 (66)	0.62	1				

Ill-defined regions of proteins are excluded from the global statistics.

Ligands and non-protein polymers are included in the analysis.

The models can be grouped into 3 clusters and 8 single-model clusters were found.

Cluster number	Models
1	1, 2, 4, 6, 15, 16, 17, 18
2	9, 10
3	3, 19
Single-model clusters	5; 7; 8; 11; 12; 13; 14; 20



# 3 Entry composition (i)

There is only 1 type of molecule in this entry. The entry contains 1330 atoms, of which 650 are hydrogens and 0 are deuteriums.

• Molecule 1 is a protein called Quorum sensing master protein.

Mol	Chain	Residues	Atoms				Trace		
1	٨	Q 1	Total	С	Н	Ν	0	S	0
1	A	84	1330	420	650	121	134	5	0



# 4 Residue-property plots (i)

## 4.1 Average score per residue in the NMR ensemble

These plots are provided for all protein, RNA, DNA and oligosaccharide chains in the entry. The first graphic is the same as shown in the summary in section 1 of this report. The second graphic shows the sequence where residues are colour-coded according to the number of geometric quality criteria for which they contain at least one outlier: green = 0, yellow = 1, orange = 2 and red = 3 or more. Stretches of 2 or more consecutive residues without any outliers are shown as green connectors. Residues which are classified as ill-defined in the NMR ensemble, are shown in cyan with an underline colour-coded according to the previous scheme. Residues which were present in the experimental sample, but not modelled in the final structure are shown in grey.

• Molecule 1: Quorum sensing master protein



## 4.2 Scores per residue for each member of the ensemble

Colouring as in section 4.1 above.

## 4.2.1 Score per residue for model 1 (medoid)

• Molecule 1: Quorum sensing master protein



## 4.2.2 Score per residue for model 2





#### 4.2.3 Score per residue for model 3

• Molecule 1: Quorum sensing master protein



#### 4.2.4 Score per residue for model 4

• Molecule 1: Quorum sensing master protein



## 4.2.5 Score per residue for model 5

• Molecule 1: Quorum sensing master protein



## 4.2.6 Score per residue for model 6

• Molecule 1: Quorum sensing master protein



## 4.2.7 Score per residue for model 7







#### 4.2.8 Score per residue for model 8

• Molecule 1: Quorum sensing master protein



#### 4.2.9 Score per residue for model 9

• Molecule 1: Quorum sensing master protein



## 4.2.10 Score per residue for model 10

• Molecule 1: Quorum sensing master protein



## 4.2.11 Score per residue for model 11

• Molecule 1: Quorum sensing master protein



## 4.2.12 Score per residue for model 12





#### 4.2.13 Score per residue for model 13

• Molecule 1: Quorum sensing master protein



#### 4.2.14 Score per residue for model 14

• Molecule 1: Quorum sensing master protein



## 4.2.15 Score per residue for model 15

• Molecule 1: Quorum sensing master protein



## 4.2.16 Score per residue for model 16

• Molecule 1: Quorum sensing master protein



## 4.2.17 Score per residue for model 17





## 4.2.18 Score per residue for model 18

• Molecule 1: Quorum sensing master protein



#### 4.2.19 Score per residue for model 19

• Molecule 1: Quorum sensing master protein



#### 4.2.20 Score per residue for model 20





# 5 Refinement protocol and experimental data overview (i)

The models were refined using the following method: torsion angle dynamics.

Of the 20 calculated structures, 20 were deposited, based on the following criterion: *all calculated structures submitted*.

The following table shows the software used for structure solution, optimisation and refinement.

Software name	Classification	Version
CYANA	refinement	
CYANA	structure calculation	

The following table shows chemical shift validation statistics as aggregates over all chemical shift files. Detailed validation can be found in section 7 of this report.

Chemical shift file(s)	working_cs.cif
Number of chemical shift lists	1
Total number of shifts	782
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	782
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Assignment completeness (well-defined parts)	78%



# 6 Model quality (i)

## 6.1 Standard geometry (i)

There are no covalent bond-length or bond-angle outliers.

Chiral center outliers are detected by calculating the chiral volume of a chiral center and verifying if the center is modelled as a planar moiety or with the opposite hand. A planarity outlier is detected by checking planarity of atoms in a peptide group, atoms in a mainchain group or atoms of a sidechain that are expected to be planar.

Mol	Chain	Chirality	Planarity
1	А	$0.0{\pm}0.0$	$0.1 \pm 0.2$
All	All	0	1

There are no bond-length outliers.

There are no bond-angle outliers.

There are no chirality outliers.

All unique planar outliers are listed below.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Group	Models (Total)
1	А	64	ARG	Sidechain	1

## 6.2 Too-close contacts (i)

In the following table, the Non-H and H(model) columns list the number of non-hydrogen atoms and hydrogen atoms in each chain respectively. The H(added) column lists the number of hydrogen atoms added and optimized by MolProbity. The Clashes column lists the number of clashes averaged over the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Non-H	H(model)	H(added)	Clashes
1	А	534	494	494	$6\pm1$
All	All	10680	9880	9880	122

The all-atom clashscore is defined as the number of clashes found per 1000 atoms (including hydrogen atoms). The all-atom clashscore for this structure is 6.

All unique clashes are listed below, sorted by their clash magnitude.

Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(Å)	Distance(Å)	Models	
Atom-1	Atom-2	-2 Clash(A) Distance		Worst	Total
1:A:31:ASN:HA	1:A:34:PHE:CD1	0.65	2.26	10	6
1:A:33:HIS:O	1:A:36:ILE:HG13	0.61	1.96	16	20

Continued on next page...



$\overline{7}$	ΤT	$\cap$	Т
1	U	પ્ર	T

Continued from pre		$C_{1} = c_{1} \left( \frac{\lambda}{\lambda} \right)$	$\mathbf{D}$	Models	
Atom-1	Atom-2	$\operatorname{Clash}(\operatorname{\AA})$	Distance(Å)	Worst	Total
1:A:31:ASN:HA	1:A:34:PHE:CD2	0.58	2.34	13	2
1:A:53:MET:O	1:A:57:VAL:HG22	0.57	1.98	3	20
1:A:36:ILE:O	1:A:40:LEU:HG	0.54	2.03	15	10
1:A:22:TRP:CZ3	1:A:79:ARG:HG2	0.54	2.37	14	3
1:A:74:ARG:O	1:A:77:LEU:HG	0.52	2.05	11	2
1:A:62:PRO:O	1:A:66:PRO:HB3	0.51	2.05	20	2
1:A:61:ASP:OD1	1:A:64:ARG:HB2	0.51	2.06	1	1
1:A:34:PHE:CE2	1:A:79:ARG:HG2	0.50	2.40	19	2
1:A:61:ASP:OD2	1:A:64:ARG:HD2	0.50	2.07	8	1
1:A:26:LEU:HD11	1:A:34:PHE:CZ	0.49	2.42	7	3
1:A:21:PRO:HB2	1:A:25:SER:O	0.49	2.08	17	2
1:A:34:PHE:HA	1:A:37:TYR:CD2	0.47	2.43	18	1
1:A:67:GLU:O	1:A:71:MET:HG3	0.47	2.10	1	1
1:A:58:LEU:HB3	1:A:60:ILE:HD12	0.47	1.87	5	16
1:A:74:ARG:O	1:A:78:ASP:HB2	0.46	2.10	16	2
1:A:50:GLU:OE1	1:A:70:ARG:HB2	0.46	2.10	17	2
1:A:40:LEU:CD1	1:A:76:HIS:HB3	0.45	2.42	4	1
1:A:55:GLN:HA	1:A:60:ILE:O	0.45	2.11	13	1
1:A:31:ASN:HA	1:A:34:PHE:CE1	0.45	2.47	19	2
1:A:52:GLU:O	1:A:56:LEU:HG	0.44	2.12	5	1
1:A:34:PHE:HA	1:A:37:TYR:CD1	0.44	2.48	14	1
1:A:20:VAL:HG11	1:A:78:ASP:OD2	0.44	2.13	12	1
1:A:49:SER:HB2	1:A:52:GLU:OE2	0.44	2.13	17	1
1:A:41:LEU:HB3	1:A:80:ALA:HB1	0.43	1.90	5	2
1:A:75:SER:HA	1:A:78:ASP:OD1	0.43	2.13	12	1
1:A:68:ARG:HA	1:A:71:MET:HG2	0.43	1.89	8	3
1:A:35:THR:O	1:A:38:MET:HG2	0.43	2.13	4	1
1:A:54:ALA:CB	1:A:69:ALA:HB1	0.43	2.44	16	2
1:A:30:ASP:HA	1:A:33:HIS:ND1	0.42	2.29	1	1
1:A:74:ARG:HD3	1:A:74:ARG:C	0.42	2.34	6	1
1:A:40:LEU:CD2	1:A:57:VAL:HB	0.42	2.45	3	2
1:A:28:ALA:O	1:A:32:GLU:HG3	0.41	2.15	11	1
1:A:65:GLU:OE1	1:A:68:ARG:HD3	0.41	2.15	3	1
1:A:57:VAL:HG23	1:A:58:LEU:HD12	0.41	1.92	11	1
1:A:66:PRO:O	1:A:70:ARG:HG2	0.40	2.16	13	1
1:A:34:PHE:CZ	1:A:79:ARG:HG2	0.40	2.51	9	1

Continued from previous page...



## 6.3 Torsion angles (i)

## 6.3.1 Protein backbone (i)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent Ramachandran outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the backbone conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Favoured	Allowed	Outliers	Percentiles
1	А	66/94~(70%)	$64 \pm 1 (97 \pm 1\%)$	$2\pm1 (3\pm1\%)$	0±0 (0±1%)	50 82
All	All	1320/1880~(70%)	1274 (97%)	43 (3%)	3(0%)	50 82

All 2 unique Ramachandran outliers are listed below. They are sorted by the frequency of occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	А	25	SER	2
1	А	21	PRO	1

#### 6.3.2 Protein sidechains (i)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent side chain outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the side chain conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Rotameric	Outliers	Perce	ntiles
1	А	57/81~(70%)	$54\pm1 (95\pm2\%)$	$3\pm1~(5\pm2\%)$	31	79
All	All	1140/1620~(70%)	1087~(95%)	53~(5%)	31	79

All 19 unique residues with a non-rotameric sidechain are listed below. They are sorted by the frequency of occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	А	82	TRP	18
1	А	50	GLU	6
1	А	22	TRP	5
1	А	29	TYR	3
1	А	79	ARG	3
1	А	41	LEU	2
1	А	74	ARG	2
1	А	78	ASP	2

Continued on next page...



Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	А	27	THR	2
1	А	52	GLU	1
1	А	19	GLU	1
1	А	68	ARG	1
1	А	18	ASP	1
1	А	61	ASP	1
1	А	65	GLU	1
1	А	38	MET	1
1	А	71	MET	1
1	А	49	SER	1
1	А	64	ARG	1

Continued from previous page...

#### 6.3.3 RNA (i)

There are no RNA molecules in this entry.

## 6.4 Non-standard residues in protein, DNA, RNA chains (i)

There are no non-standard protein/DNA/RNA residues in this entry.

## 6.5 Carbohydrates (i)

There are no monosaccharides in this entry.

## 6.6 Ligand geometry (i)

There are no ligands in this entry.

## 6.7 Other polymers (i)

There are no such molecules in this entry.

## 6.8 Polymer linkage issues (i)

There are no chain breaks in this entry.



# 7 Chemical shift validation (i)

The completeness of assignment taking into account all chemical shift lists is 78% for the well-defined parts and 68% for the entire structure.

## 7.1 Chemical shift list 1

File name: working\_cs.cif

Chemical shift list name: *chemshift\_table\_filled.txt* 

## 7.1.1 Bookkeeping (i)

The following table shows the results of parsing the chemical shift list and reports the number of nuclei with statistically unusual chemical shifts.

Total number of shifts	782
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	782
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Number of shift outliers (ShiftChecker)	1

## 7.1.2 Chemical shift referencing (i)

The following table shows the suggested chemical shift referencing corrections.

Nucleus	# values	${\rm Correction}\pm{\rm precision},ppm$	Suggested action
$^{13}C_{\alpha}$	71	$0.44 \pm 0.17$	None needed ( $< 0.5$ ppm)
$^{13}C_{\beta}$	70	$1.16 \pm 0.12$	Should be checked
$^{13}C'$	58	$-0.52 \pm 0.23$	Should be applied
<sup>15</sup> N	61	$0.39 \pm 0.34$	None needed ( $< 0.5$ ppm)

## 7.1.3 Completeness of resonance assignments (i)

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the well-defined regions of the structure. The overall completeness is 78%, i.e. 693 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 892. 0 out of 9 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.

	Total	$^{1}\mathrm{H}$	$^{13}\mathrm{C}$	$^{15}\mathbf{N}$
Backbone	288/325~(89%)	116/130~(89%)	116/132~(88%)	56/63~(89%)
Sidechain	367/501~(73%)	250/324~(77%)	114/156~(73%)	3/21 (14%)

Continued on next page...



	Total	$^{1}\mathrm{H}$	$^{13}\mathrm{C}$	$^{15}\mathbf{N}$
Aromatic	38/66~(58%)	25/33~(76%)	11/29~(38%)	2/4~(50%)
Overall	693/892~(78%)	391/487~(80%)	241/317~(76%)	61/88~(69%)

Continued from previous page...

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the full structure. The overall completeness is 68%, i.e. 782 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 1153. 0 out of 12 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.

	Total	$^{1}\mathrm{H}$	$^{13}\mathrm{C}$	$^{15}$ N
Backbone	318/417~(76%)	128/168~(76%)	129/168~(77%)	61/81~(75%)
Sidechain	420/651~(65%)	286/420~(68%)	130/200~(65%)	4/31~(13%)
Aromatic	44/85~(52%)	29/42~(69%)	13/39~(33%)	2/4~(50%)
Overall	782/1153~(68%)	443/630~(70%)	272/407~(67%)	67/116~(58%)

## 7.1.4 Statistically unusual chemical shifts (i)

The following table lists the statistically unusual chemical shifts. These are statistical measures, and large deviations from the mean do not necessarily imply incorrect assignments. Molecules containing paramagnetic centres or hemes are expected to give rise to anomalous chemical shifts.

List Id	Chain	Res	Type	Atom	Shift, $ppm$	Expected range, ppm	Z-score
1	А	79	ARG	HD2	1.79	1.97 - 4.26	-5.8

## 7.1.5 Random Coil Index (RCI) plots (i)

The image below reports *random coil index* values for the protein chains in the structure. The height of each bar gives a probability of a given residue to be disordered, as predicted from the available chemical shifts and the amino acid sequence. A value above 0.2 is an indication of significant predicted disorder. The colour of the bar shows whether the residue is in the well-defined core (black) or in the ill-defined residue ranges (cyan), as described in section 2 on ensemble composition. If well-defined core and ill-defined regions are not identified then it is shown as gray bars.

Random coil index (RCI) for chain A:





